IB DIPLOMA PSYCHOLOGY INTERNAL ASSESSMENT MARKING GRID

Name:

Title of IA:

MARKS	CRITERION 1 INTRODUCTION	CRITERION 2 EXPLORATION	CRITERION 3 ANALYSIS		
5-6	 Aim is stated and its relevance explained. Theory/model upon which investigation is based is described and link to investigation is explained. The IV and DV[s] are stated and operationalized in the null or research hypotheses. 		 Descriptive and inferential statistics are appropriately and accurately applied. Graph is correctly presented and addresses the hypothesis. Statistical findings are interpreted with regard to the data and linked to the hypothesis. 		
3-4	 Aim is stated and its relevance identified but not explained. Theory/model upon which investigation is based is described, but link to investigation not explained. The IV and DV[s] are correctly stated in the null or research hypotheses, but not operationalized. 	 Research design is explained. Sampling technique is explained. Characteristics of participants are explained. Controlled variables are explained. Materials used are explained. 	 Appropriate descriptive and inferential statistics are applied but there are errors. Graph addresses the hypothesis but contains errors. Statistical findings are stated but either not interpreted with regard to the data or not linked to the hypothesis. 		
1-2	 Aim is stated but its relevance is not identified. Theory/model upon which investigation is based is identified but description is incomplete or contains errors. Null and/or research hypotheses are stated, but do not correctly identify the IV or DV[s]. 	 Research design is described. Sampling technique is described. Characteristics of participants are described. Controlled variables are described. Materials used are described. 	 Only descriptive or inferential statistics are applied. Correct graphing technique is chosen but the graph does not address the hypothesis. There is no clear statement of findings. 		
0	Does not reach the standard described by the descriptors above.	Does not reach the standard described by the descriptors above.	Does not reach the standard described by the descriptors above.		



CRITERION 4 EVALUATION Findings of the investigation are discussed with reference to the background theory/model. **Strengths and limitations of the design**, sample and procedure are stated and explained and relevant to the investigation. Modifications are explicitly linked to the limitations of the investigation and fully justified. **Findings of the investigation are described** with reference to the background theory/model. Strengths and limitations of the design, sample or procedure are stated and described and relevant to the investigation. Modifications are described but not explicitly linked to the limitations of the investigation. **Findings of the student's investigation are** described without reference to the background theory/model. Strengths and limitations of the design, sample or procedure are stated but are not directly relevant to the hypothesis. One or more modifications are stated. Does not reach the standard described by the

descriptors above.

www.tutor2u.net/psychology



CRITERION 1	/6	CRITERION 2	/4	CRITERION 3	/6	CRITERION 4	/6
CRITERION 1 FEEDBACK:							
CRITERION 2 FEEDBACK:							
CRITERION 3 FEEDBACK:							
OTTENION STEEDBACK.							
CRITERION 4 FEEDBACK:							



www.tutor2u.net/psychology



@tutor2upsych